

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**  
**TENTH CIRCUIT**

---

**JAN 9 2001**

**PATRICK FISHER**  
Clerk

PATRICK DAVID HOPKINS,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF  
COLORADO; DENVER SHERIFF'S  
DEPARTMENT,

Defendants-Appellees.

No. 00-1273

(D.C. No. 00-Z-972)  
(D. Colo.)

---

**ORDER AND JUDGMENT\***

---

Before **BALDOCK, HENRY**, and **LUCERO**, Circuit Judges.\*\*

---

Plaintiff Patrick David Hopkins filed a civil rights complaint based on a prison official's alleged confiscation of his Quran and Bible. A magistrate judge subsequently entered an order directing Plaintiff to cure certain deficiencies in his complaint within thirty days. When Plaintiff failed to comply, the district court dismissed his complaint

---

\* This order and judgment is not binding precedent, except under the doctrines of law of the case, res judicata, and collateral estoppel. The court generally disfavors the citation of orders and judgments; nevertheless, an order and judgment may be cited under the terms and conditions of 10th Cir. R. 36.3.

\*\* After examining the briefs and appellate record, this panel has determined unanimously that oral argument would not materially assist the determination of this appeal. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2)(C); 10th Cir. R. 34.1(G). The case is therefore ordered submitted without oral argument.

without prejudice. Defendant appeals. We exercise jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291.

We have thoroughly reviewed Plaintiff's brief, the magistrate judge's and district court's orders, and the entire record before us. Because Plaintiff apparently never fully complied with the order instructing him to cure the deficiencies in his complaint by submitting a certified copy of his prison trust account to the district court, we affirm substantially for the reasons stated in the district court's order of dismissal.

AFFIRMED.

Entered for the Court,

Bobby R. Baldock  
Circuit Judge